

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,220	11/03/2004	Mikihiko Kimura	SHM-15712	2117
	7590 08/02/200 L, PORTER & CLARI	EXAMINER		
38210 Glenn A	venue		CREPEAU, JONATHAN	
WILLOUGHBY, OH 44094-7808		·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1745	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/511,220	KIMURA ET AL.			
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jonathan S. Crepeau	1745			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in a solution of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting  rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 June 2007.					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers		•			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
3) 🔲 Infor	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/511,220 Page 2

Art Unit: 1745

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. This Office action addresses claims 1-5. The filing of the priority document translation is acknowledged and the rejection over Andou is overcome. However, claims 1-5 remain rejected over Inoue et al. for the reasons of record. Accordingly, this action is made final.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Inoue et al (U.S. Pre-Grant Publication No. 2002/0122970). In Figure 17, the reference teaches a fuel cell separator having a metallic central portion (14a) connected to an elastic member (41, 42), which is connected to a resin member (43, 44) forming a peripheral portion. The elastic member forms a seal around the central portion (see Fig. 14). Further, manifold passages (61, 62, 63) are provided through the resin member and are sealed by projecting seal parts (43, 44).

Thus, the instant claims are anticipated.

### Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined

Application/Control Number: 10/511,220

Art Unit: 1745

application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1-5 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 7-23 of copending Application No. 10/352,958 (corresponding to 2003/01443451). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the '958 application anticipate at least instant claim 1 and render the remaining claims obvious.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed June 12, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regarding Inoue et al., Applicants state that the reference does not teach that the peripheral portion is made of a resin member. Rather, it is asserted that the peripheral portion is

Art Unit: 1745

made of stainless steel. However, the position is maintained that the peripheral portion is made of a resin member. As shown in Figure 17, the peripheral portion contains both the resin member (43, 44) and the stainless steel plate member (14a). The instant claims merely require that the peripheral part be "made from" a resin member. This is open claim language and does not exclude the stainless steel member from being present in the peripheral part. Should Applicant wish to amend the claims by using a "consisting of" construction, Applicant is advised that entry of such amendment after final rejection is not a matter of right. Applicant's attention is also directed to element 99 (100) in Figure 17 which extends *beyond* the edge of the stainless steel plate. Thus, while "peripheral part" has been broadly interpreted herein as encompassing a part near or adjacent the edge of the separator, the element 99 (100) also forms the actual outer edge of the structure. This element also may be considered part of the "resin member" as set forth above.

Applicants also assert that "reference numbers 43 and 44 are seals and not a resin member as the Examiner contends." However, these two terms are not mutually exclusive, and while the reference identifies the elements as "seals," they also read on the presently-claimed "resin members."

Application/Control Number: 10/511,220

Art Unit: 1745

#### Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan Crepeau whose telephone number is (571) 272-1299. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan, can be reached at (571) 272-1292. The phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 272-1700. Documents may be faxed to the central fax server at (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

Art Unit: 1745

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jonathan Crepeau Primary Examiner Art Unit 1745 July 31, 2007